

On the Localization Property of Multiple Fourier Series*

SATORU IGARI

*Department of Mathematics, The University of Wisconsin, Madison,
Wisconsin 53706 and
Tôhoku University, Sendai, Japan*

1. Let T_n be the unit cube in the n -dimensional Euclidean space E_n , that is,

$$T_n = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) : -\pi \leq x_j < \pi, \quad j = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Denote by $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ points of T_n , and by $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ lattice point of E_n . For a function f of $L^1(T_n)$, its Fourier series is defined by

$$S(x, f) = \sum_m \hat{f}_m e^{im \cdot x}$$

where

$$\hat{f}_m = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{T_n} f(x) e^{-im \cdot x} dx,$$

$$m \cdot x = m_1 x_1 + \dots + m_n x_n, \quad dx = dx_1 \dots dx_n$$

and \sum runs over all lattice points.

Let $W_R(x, f)$ be a summation method of the Fourier series of f . Then we say that W_R has the localization property (for abbreviation, L.P.) for $L^p(T_n)$, if for any $f \in L^p(T_n)$ vanishing on an open set, $W_R(x, f)$ converges uniformly to zero on each compact set contained in the open set.

A classical theorem of Riemann states that in the one-dimensional case, partial sums of Fourier series have the localization property for $L^1(-\pi, \pi)$. We shall investigate the n -dimensional analogues of this theorem. In the following we assume always $n \geq 2$.

2. Let $l = (l_1, \dots, l_n)$ be a lattice point with non-negative coordinates, and let the l th partial sum of the Fourier series of f be

$$s_l(x, f) = \sum \hat{f}_m e^{im \cdot x}$$

where $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ runs over all m such that $|m_j| \leq l_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then we have

$$s_l(x, f) = \frac{1}{\pi^n} \int_{T_n} f(y) D_l(x - y) dy,$$

* Supported in part by N.S.F. Grant GP-6764 and Sakkokai Foundation.

where

$$D_l(x) = D_{l_1}(x_1) \dots D_{l_n}(x_n)$$

and

$$D_{l_j}(x_j) = \sin(l_j + \frac{1}{2})x_j/2 \sin(x_j/2).$$

The (C, 1)-mean is defined similarly by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_l(x, f) &= \frac{1}{(l_1 + 1) \dots (l_n + 1)} \sum s_m(x, f) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^n} \int_{T_n} f(y) K_l(x - y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_l(x) = K_{l_1}(x_1) \dots K_{l_n}(x_n)$$

and

$$K_{l_j}(x_j) = 2\{\sin \frac{1}{2}(l_j + 1)x_j/2 \sin(x_j/2)\}^2/(l_j + 1).$$

We call $s_l(f)$ a square partial sum if $l_1 = \dots = l_n$, and a rectangular partial sum for arbitrary l_j .

THEOREM 1. (1) *Square partial sums do not have L.P. for $C(T_n)$.* (2) *Square (C, 1)-sums have L.P. for $L^p(T_n)$ if $p \geq n - 1$, but not if $n - 1 > p \geq 1$.*

Remark 1. Rectangular (C, 1)-sums have L.P. for $C(T_n)$ but not for $L^p(T_n)$, $p > 1$ (see [3], p. 304).

Proof. (1) We show that there exists a function f of $C(T_n)$ which vanishes on a neighborhood of the origin and satisfies

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} |s_{(j, \dots, j)}(0, f)| = \infty.$$

Let $0 < \epsilon < \delta < \pi$ and let ϕ be a function of $C(T_n)$ such that $\phi(x) = 0$ for $|x| < \epsilon$ and $\phi(x) = 1$ for $x \in T_n$, $|x| > \delta$. Let us put $U_j(f) = s_{(j, \dots, j)}(0, \phi f)$. If our assertion did not hold, then $U_j(f)$ would be bounded for each f of $C(T_n)$. Since the U_j are bounded linear functionals on $C(T_n)$ and their norms are

$$\frac{1}{\pi^n} \int_{T_n} |\phi(y) D_{(j, \dots, j)}(y)| dy,$$

by the uniform boundedness theorem we get

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi^n} \int_{T_n} |\phi(y) D_{(j, \dots, j)}(y)| dy < \infty.$$

On the other hand, the above integrals are minorized by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\pi^n} \int_{\delta}^{\pi} \left| \frac{\sin(j+1/2)y_1}{\sin(y_1/2)} \right| dy_1 \int_0^{\pi} \left| \frac{\sin(j+1/2)y_2}{\sin(y_2/2)} \right| dy_2 \cdots \int_0^{\pi} \left| \frac{\sin(j+1/2)y_n}{\sin(y_n/2)} \right| dy_n \\ \geq A \int_{(j+1/2)\delta}^{(j+1/2)\pi} \frac{|\sin y_1|}{y_1} dy_1 (\log j)^{n-1} \\ \geq A' (\log j)^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

for some constants A, A' . The last term is unbounded as $j \rightarrow \infty$ if $n \geq 2$, which is absurd.

To prove the second part of (2), it is sufficient to show that

$$I_j = \frac{1}{\pi^n} \int_{T_n} |\phi(y) K_{(j, \dots, j)}(y)|^q dy$$

is unbounded as $j \rightarrow \infty$, where $1/p + 1/q = 1$. We may assume $q < \infty$. By the definitions of ϕ and $K_{(j, \dots, j)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_j \geq \frac{1}{\pi^n (j+1)^{qn}} \int_{\delta}^{\pi} \left(\frac{\sin(j+1)\frac{y_1}{2}}{\sin\frac{y_1}{2}} \right)^{2q} dy_1 \int_0^{\pi} \left(\frac{\sin(j+1)\frac{y_2}{2}}{\sin\frac{y_2}{2}} \right)^{2q} dy_2 \\ \cdots \int_0^{\pi} \left(\frac{\sin(j+1)\frac{y_n}{2}}{\sin\frac{y_n}{2}} \right)^{2q} dy_n. \end{aligned}$$

The first integral is larger than some constant multiple of

$$\int_{\delta}^{\pi} \frac{\sin^{2q}\left(\frac{j+1}{2}\right)y_1}{y_1^{2q}} dy_1 \geq A j^{2q-1} \int_{j\delta}^{2\pi j} \frac{\sin^{2q} a}{a^{2q}} da \geq A' > 0$$

and

$$\int_0^{\pi} \left(\frac{\sin\left(\frac{j+1}{2}\right)a}{\sin\frac{a}{2}} \right)^{2q} da \geq A \int_0^{1/j} \left(\frac{ja}{a} \right)^{2q} da \geq A' j^{2q-1}.$$

Thus, we get a minorant of I_j :

$$I_j \geq Aj^{-qn}j^{(2q-1)(n-1)},$$

from which we conclude that $I_j \rightarrow \infty$ if $qn - 2q - n + 1 > 0$, i.e., $n - 1 > p \geq 1$.

For the second part of (2), it is sufficient to prove

$$(A) \quad \sup_{j,x} |\sigma_{(j,\dots,j)}(x, \phi f)| \leq A\|f\|_p, \quad n - 1 < p < \infty,$$

where $|x| \leq \epsilon'$, ϵ' being fixed with $0 < \epsilon' < \epsilon$. In fact, let f be a function of $L^p(T_n)$ such that $f = 0$ if $|x| < \delta$, and let g be a function of $C^\infty(T_n)$ satisfying $\|f - g\|_p < \eta$ and $g = 0$ if $|x| < \epsilon$. Then $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{(j,\dots,j)}(x, g) = 0$, uniformly in $|x| \leq \epsilon'$, and

$$\sup_j |\sigma_{(j,\dots,j)}(x, f - g)| = \sup_j |\sigma_{(j,\dots,j)}(x, \phi(f - g))| \leq A\|f - g\|_p < A\eta$$

for $|x| \leq \epsilon'$. Thus, $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{(j,\dots,j)}(x, f) = 0$, uniformly in $|x| \leq \epsilon'$.

Now we prove (A). By Hölder's inequality, $|\sigma_{(j,\dots,j)}(x, \phi f)| \leq \|f\|_p J_j^{1/q}$, where

$$J_j = \int_{T_n} |\phi(y) K_{(j,\dots,j)}(x - y)|^q dy.$$

Thus

$$J_j \leq \frac{A}{j^{nq}} \int_\alpha^\pi \left(\frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}(j+1)y_1}{\sin \frac{1}{2}y_1} \right)^{2q} dy_1 \int_0^\pi \left(\frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}(j+1)y_2}{\sin \frac{1}{2}y_2} \right)^{2q} dy_2 \dots \int_0^\pi \left(\frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}(j+1)y_n}{\sin \frac{1}{2}y_n} \right)^{2q} dy_n$$

where $\alpha = (\epsilon - \epsilon')/\sqrt{n}$. The first integral is finite and the others are dominated by

$$\int_0^{1/j} \frac{(ja)^{2q}}{a^{2q}} da + \int_{1/j}^\pi \frac{1}{a^{2q}} da \leq Aj^{2q-1}$$

except for a constant multiplier. Thus we get $J_j \leq Aj^{-qn+(2q-1)(n-1)}$, which is bounded if $p \geq n - 1$. Thus, (2) is proved.

3. Now we try to consider the (C, α) -sum case. The (C, α) -mean of the Dirichlet kernel is by definition

$$K_j^\alpha(t) = \sum_{\nu=0}^j A_{j-\nu}^{\alpha-1} D_\nu(t)/A_j^\alpha,$$

where j, t are scalars and $A_j^\alpha = \binom{j+\alpha}{j}$. We have $|K_j^\alpha(t)| \leq j+1$. We first assume that $0 < \alpha < 1$. By an elementary calculation we get

$$\begin{aligned} K_j^\alpha(t) &= \frac{1}{2A_j^\alpha \sin \frac{t}{2}} \mathcal{F}_m \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^j A_{j-\nu}^{\alpha-1} \exp [i(\nu + \frac{1}{2})t] \right\} \\ &= \mathcal{F}_m \left\{ \frac{\exp [i(j + \frac{1}{2})t]}{2A_j^\alpha \sin \frac{t}{2}} \sum_{\nu=0}^j A_\nu^{\alpha-1} e^{-i\nu t} \right\} \\ &= \mathcal{F}_m \left\{ \frac{\exp [i(j + \frac{1}{2})t]}{2A_j^\alpha \sin \frac{t}{2}} \left[\frac{1}{(1 - e^{-it})^\alpha} - \sum_{\nu=j+1}^{\infty} A_\nu^{\alpha-1} e^{-i\nu t} \right] \right\} \\ &= \frac{\sin \left(j + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) t}{A_j^\alpha \left(2 \sin \frac{t}{2} \right)^{\alpha+1}} + H_j^\alpha(t), \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

Since $A_\nu^{\alpha-1}$ decreases monotonically to zero, the last sum converges in $0 < |t| < \pi$, and by summation by parts, it does not exceed in absolute value $2A_{j+1}^{\alpha-1} |1 - e^{-it}|^{-1}$. Thus,

$$|H_j^\alpha(t)| \leq \frac{A_{j+1}^{\alpha-1}}{A_1^\alpha} \frac{1}{(\sin \frac{1}{2}t)^2} \leq \frac{A}{jt^2} \leq AC^{\alpha-1} j^{-\alpha} t^{-\alpha-1},$$

if $jt > C$. Since $0 < \alpha < 1$, $C^{\alpha-1}$ is small for large C . Therefore, if $I_j(\alpha)$ are defined for (C, α) -kernels analogously to I_j , we get in a similar way,

$$I_j(\alpha) \geq Aj^{(n-1)(\alpha-1)-\alpha q}.$$

In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \int_\delta^\pi |K_j^\alpha(t)|^q dt \right\}^{1/q} &\geq \frac{A}{j^\alpha} \left\{ \int_\delta^\pi \frac{\sin^q \left(j + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) t}{t^{(\alpha+1)q}} dt \right\}^{1/q} - \frac{A'}{j^\alpha} \left\{ \int_\delta^\pi \frac{dt}{t^{(\alpha+1)q}} \right\}^{1/q} \\ &\geq A'' j^{-\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

since we can take A' sufficiently small for large j . We have

$$\int_0^\pi |K_j^\alpha(t)|^q dt \geq \int_0^{1/j} j^q dt = Aj^{q-1}.$$

In the same way as before, we get $J_j(\alpha) \leq Aj^{(n-1)(\alpha-1)-\alpha q}$ for the (C, α) -analogue of J_j . Therefore we conclude

THEOREM 2. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then square (C, α) -sums have L.P. for $L^p(T_n)$ if $p \geq (n - 1)/\alpha$, but not if $(n - 1)/\alpha > p \geq 1$.*

Remark 2. As is easily seen, in (2) of Theorem 1, we can replace $(C, 1)$ -sums by Abel means. From this fact and from (2) of Theorem 1, if $1 \leq \alpha < \infty$, square (C, α) -means have L.P. for $L^p(T_n)$ if $p \geq n - 1$, but do not have it if $n - 1 > p \geq 1$.

Remark 3. The case $p = 1$ is known, see [1] and [2].

4. As a consequence of Theorem 1, we state an analogue of Lebesgue's theorem.

For $f \in L^p(T_n)$, put

$$\phi_x(y) = \sum [f(x_1 \pm y_1, \dots, x_n \pm y_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n)]$$

where \sum sums all possible combinations of signs, and denote

$$\Phi_{x,p}(t) = \Phi(t) = \left(\int_{|y| \leq t} |\phi_x(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p}.$$

THEOREM 3. *If $f \in L^p(T_n)$, $p > n - 1$, then its Fourier series is square $(C, 1)$ -summable to $f(x)$ at x where $\Phi(t) = o(t^{n/p})$ ($t \rightarrow 0$).*

Proof. We first note that

$$\sigma_{(j, \dots, j)}(x, f) - f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi^n} \int_0^\pi \dots \int_0^\pi \phi_x(y) K_{(j, \dots, j)}(y) dy.$$

Put $E_\nu = \{y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) : y_1, \dots, y_n \geq 0, 2^{-\nu} \leq |y| < 2^{-\nu+1}\}$. Then by Theorem 1, the repeated integral equals

$$\left\{ \sum_{\nu=N}^M \int_{E_\nu} + \int_{|y| \leq 2^{-M}} \right\} \phi_x(y) K_{(j, \dots, j)}(y) dy + o(1),$$

where N is sufficiently large but fixed, and M is chosen so that $2^M \leq j < 2^{M+1}$. Since $K_{(j, \dots, j)}(y) \leq (j + 1)^n$, the second integral is $O(1)\Phi(1/j)j^{n/p} = o(1)$. If $y \in E_\nu$, then at least one of the y_i satisfies $2^{-\nu}/\sqrt{n} \leq y_i < 2^{-\nu+1}$. Thus the integral over E_ν is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned} O(1) \frac{2^{2\nu}}{j^n} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{E_\nu} |\phi_x(y)| \prod_{i \neq k} \left(\frac{\sin(j + 1/2)y_i}{\sin y_i/2} \right)^2 dy \\ \leq O(1) \frac{2^{2\nu}}{j^n} \Phi(2^{-\nu}) 2^{-\nu/a} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[\int_0^\pi \left(\frac{\sin(j + 1/2)y_i}{\sin y_i/2} \right)^{2a} dy \right]^{1/a} \\ = o(1) j^{((n-1)/p-1)} 2^{-\nu((n-1)/p-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, summing over ν , we get, finally, $\sigma_{(j, \dots, j)}(x, f) - f(x) = o(1)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 4. If $f \in L^p(T_n)$, $p \geq 1$, then $\Phi_{x,p}(t) = o(t^{n/p})$ almost everywhere. But it is known that $\sigma_{(j, \dots, j)}(x, f)$ tends to $f(x)$ almost everywhere if $f \in L^1(T_n)$ (see [3]).

REFERENCES

1. J. G. HERRIOT, Nörlund summability of double Fourier series. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **52** (1942), 72–94.
2. J. G. HERRIOT, Nörlund summability of multiple Fourier series. *Duke Math. J.* **11** (1944), 735–754.
3. A. ZYGMUND, “Trigonometric Series,” vol. II. Cambridge Univ. Press. 1959.